

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of :  
ACHENBACH, PATRICK : Group Art Unit: 3749  
Serial No. 10/529,123 : Examiner: GRAVINI, STEPHEN  
Filed: Oct. 13, 2005 :  
Response to Paper No. Office Action dated 1/7/2009

For: DEHUMIDIFYING OF AIR WITHIN SWITCH CABINET FOR A WIND TURBINE BY MEANS OF PELTIER ELEMENT

Mail Stop  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Examiner:

In response to the Office Action dated January 7, 2009, Applicant respectfully submits the following response and remarks.

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamerski (U.S. 3,589,025 in view of Seabury (U.S. 4,745,868). Claims 23-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Schloss (U.S. 4,044,772). Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamerski in view Seabury and in view of Roethel (U.S. 1,722,825). Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamerski in view of Seabury. Claims 21-22 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamerski in view of Seabury in view of Streed (US 3,332,620). Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schloss in view of Seabury. These rejections are respectfully traversed.